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**1. External Reviewer Response to Components**

Please provide your team evaluation and comments for each component. If applicable, please address strength, weaknesses and recommendations of the component in your comments.

***Component I: General Observations***

Please comment on the quality of the report.

* Are findings adequately supported by evidence?
* Does the program use appropriate benchmarks to assess its findings?

|  |
| --- |
| **Team Evaluation and Comments:** |

***Component II: Mission, History and Goals of the Program***

* Is the program’s mission appropriate to the field?
* Are there plans in place in achieve and assess mission fulfillment?

|  |
| --- |
| **Team Evaluation and Comments:** |

***Component III: Student Learning Outcomes***

* Does the program provide evidence that demonstrate achievement of student learning outcomes?
* Are outcome metrics evaluated to assess program effectiveness and to inform program improvement efforts?

|  |
| --- |
| **Team Evaluation and Comments:** |

***Component IV: Curriculum***

* Is the curriculum content well organized, appropriate, and includes generally accepted experiences for the degree?
* Does the curriculum align stated learning outcomes?

|  |
| --- |
| **Team Evaluation and Comments:** |

***Component V: Faculty***

* Is the program adequately staffed and qualified to fulfill the mission and purpose?

|  |
| --- |
| **Team Evaluation and Comments:** |

***Component VI: Student Body***

* Does the program periodically review admission data?
* Is the data used to inform recruitment and curricular modifications?
* Do student academic support services ensure quality and facilitate the attainment of the program and University educational objectives?

|  |
| --- |
| **Team Evaluation and Comments:** |

***Component VII: Physical Facilities***

* Does the program have the physical resources necessary (i.e., classroom space, research space, faculty and administrative offices, computers, instructional and information technologies, etc.) to carry out its goals?

|  |
| --- |
| **Team Evaluation and Comments:** |

***Component VIII: Staff***

* Does the program have an adequate number of qualified staff to achieve its educational objectives?

|  |
| --- |
| **Team Evaluation and Comments:** |

***Component IX: External Environment of the Program***

* Does the program regularly review external developments outside that may impact their academic unit?

|  |
| --- |
| **Team Evaluation and Comments:** |

**2. Conclusion**

Identify strengths, areas of weakness, and recommendations for improvement of the program. Any concluding or summarizing thoughts may be included here.

***Component X: Commendations and Recommendations***

* Identify the program’s most significant strengths.
* Identify the program’s most significant weaknesses.
* Comment on the adequacy of the program’s self-identified plans for improvement.
* Identify any additional recommendations you have for the program other than those suggested in the self-study.

|  |
| --- |
| **Team Evaluation and Comments:** |